Which picture do you prefer?

Please click the picture you prefer.





We want to know geometric properties of graph drawings that predict human preference choices, over a range of graph sizes and types.

In particular: what is the impact on preference of edge crossings and stress in straight-line drawings?

In our first Experiment, 79 subjects are shown a sequence of 10 "instances". Each instance chooses one of a pair **D1**, **D2** of drawings of a graph, like the pair above. We recorded their choice, and measured the number of edge crossings and the stress (scaled by the average edge length) for each drawing.

Previous experiments:

(Purchase et al. (1995+) and her followers):

- ⇒ Task oriented
- ⇒ Almost all small graphs

This experiment:

- ⇒ Test preference, not tasks
- ⇒ Up to 8000 nodes

The answers

 \Rightarrow

Less stress preferred in 57% of the 790 instances **Fewer crossings** preferred **65%** of the 790 instances

Stress and crossing ratios: definitions

For a specific instance with two drawings D_1 and D_2 of the same graph, we define $stress(D_i)$ for each of D_1 and D_2 to be stress scaled by average edge length.

Then we define:

min(stress(D₁),stress(D₂)) Stress ratio = max(*stress*(**D**₁),*stress*(**D**₂))

Stress and crossing ratios: results

- ⇒ Preference for lower stress drawings increases slowly with stress ratio. For 70% of drawings with stress ratio greater than 4, the human preferred the lower stress drawing.
- Preference for lower crossings increases from crossing ratio 1 to 1.5, but then remains steady. For 76% of instances where the crossing ratio was at least 2, the

and

 $min(crossings(D_1), crossings(D_2))$ crossing ratio = $\overline{\max(crossings(D_1), crossings(D_2))}'$ where $crossings(D_i)$ is the number of edge crossings in D_i .

The stress ratio and crossing ratio indicate the amount by which the two drawings in an instance differ in stress and crossings.



Our experimental software is web-deployable, and we intend to experiment with a variety of data sets and configurations, aiming to show some light on the long-term questions above.

We welcome collaboration from more researchers in this project.

human preferred fewer crossings. This chart shows Stress ratio vs preference % 80 how the Percentage of instances where lower stress is prefered 0 2 0 2 0 2 2 2 percentage of instances where the subject chose lower stress changes with stress 4.5 5 1.5 2.5 3.5 ratio. *Stress ratio: higher stress / lower stress* This chart shows **Crossings ratio vs preference %** how the 80 of instances where parefered percentage of instances where the 65 fewer crossings are subject chose fewer 60 55 crossing changes Percentage 50 with crossing ratio. 1 1.5 2 2.5 3 3.5 4 4.5 5 Crossing ratio: higher crossings / lower crossings

Markus Chimani (University of Osnabrück); Peter Eades, Patrick Eades, Seok-Hee Hong (University of Sydney); Weidong Huang (University of Tasmania); Karsten Klein (Monash University); Michael Marner, Ross Smith, Bruce H. Thomas (University of South Australia).

Thanks to Tom Sawyer Software and the Australian Research Council.